For the Northern District of California

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7			
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC.,		
10	Plaintiff,	No. C 04-02581 JSW	
11	v.	ORDER RE MOTION TO	
12	SYSTEM GENERAL CORPORATION, et	DISMISS OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO LIFT THE	
13	al.,	STAY	
14	Defendants.		
15	O A 1140 2000 PH 1 1/25 P		
16	On April 18, 2008, Plaintiff Power Integrations Systems, Inc. filed a motion to dist		

On April 18, 2008, Plaintiff Power Integrations Systems, Inc. filed a motion to dismiss this action without prejudice or, in the alternative, to lift the stay. That motion is noticed for hearing on May 23, 2008.

In its motion, Plaintiff notes that the patents-in-suit are undergoing reexamination by the United States Patent and Trademark Office, a fact that the parties previously brought to the Court's attention in their Second Joint Status Report. On October 12, 2006, pursuant to notice filed by Plaintiff, the Court lifted the stay in this action. Thereafter, Defendants moved to reinstate the stay, in part on the basis that the proceedings before the International Trade Commission ("ITC") were not final, and in part on the basis of the reexamination proceedings.

However, on December 28, 2006, the parties submitted a stipulation to the Court, whereby Defendants withdrew their motion to stay, and the parties stipulated to stay this matter pending *resolution of the matters proceeding before the Federal Circuit*. On April 1, 2008, in their Tenth Joint Status Report, the parties advised the Court that those proceedings were final, which the Court construes as notice that the Court of Appeals has rendered a decision. (*See*

Docket No. 156 (Order Granting Stipulation to Stay at 3:9-11.) By opera	tion of the parties
stipulation, the stay is lifted.	

Accordingly, the Court shall hear the motion to dismiss on May 23, 2008, as noticed and the parties' briefs shall be due in accordance with the Local Rules. If Defendants wish to move for a stay pending re-examination, they should file an appropriate motion and notice it for hearing in accordance with the Local Rules.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 22, 2008

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE